

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,639	MIZUKOSHI, HIROSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James M Hewitt	3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

(2) Yusuke Takeuchi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to having the abstract rewritten in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment since the abstract filed 12/30/04 was not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.72 (which requires that a new or replacement abstract be submitted on a separate sheet.